



COMMISSIONING PARTNERSHIP BOARD
28/06/2018 at 12.30 pm

Present: Majid Hussain Lay Governing Body Chair CCG (Chair)

Councillors Chadderton, Fielding, Jacques (from Item 9)

Ben Galbraith Chief Finance Officer CCG

John Patterson Chief Clinical Officer CCG

Ian Milnes Deputy Chief Clinical Officer CCG

Also in Attendance:

Julie Daines

Strategic Director of Corporate
Affairs and Resources CCG

Graham Foulkes

Lay Member for Patient and Public
Involvement

Ian Milnes

Deputy Chief Clinical Officer

Vicky Crossley

Associate Director of Oldham Cares
Programme

Nadia Baig

Director of Performance and
Delivery

Donna McLoughlin

Alliance Director, Oldham Cares

Rebekah Sutcliffe

Strategic Director of Reform

Mark Warren

Director, Adult Social Care

Carolyn Wilkins OBE

Chief Executive/ Accountable
Officer

1 **ELECTION OF CHAIR**

RESOLVED – That Majid Hussain be elected Chair of the
Commissioning Partnership Board for the duration of the
meeting.

2 **APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Chauhan
and Shah.

3 **URGENT BUSINESS**

There were no items of urgent business received.

4 **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

There were no declarations of interest received.

5 **PUBLIC QUESTION TIME**

There were no public question received.

6 **COMMISSIONING PARTNERSHIP BOARD TERMS OF REFERENCE**

The Board gave consideration to the proposed Terms of Reference of a joint committee of Oldham Council and Oldham Clinical Commissioning Group under Regulation 10 (2) of the NHS Bodies and Local Authorities Partnership Arrangements Regulations 2000 which had been agreed by the respective organisations via CCG Governing body and the Council's Cabinet.

The Board would exercise on behalf of the CCG and Council the integrated commissioning functions established under Section.75 of the NHS Act 2006.

The NHS Oldham CCG - Strategic Director of Corporate Affairs & Resources provided Members of the Board with an overview of the membership, voting rights, deputies, quorum and remit. The Board were advised that as time progressed the accountability and quantum of budgets within the Section 75 Agreement would increase.

The Chair expressed some concern at having the casting vote should there be an equality of voting and advised the Board that the preference would be to ensure that there was full agreement on matters before the Board.

It was suggested that should a simple majority not be reached, the matter would be taken outside of the meeting to obtain further detail/information and the issue would be reconsidered at the next available Board meeting.

The Chair also requested two further additions to the Terms and Reference in relation to the Health and Wellbeing Board and the values and behaviors of the Commissioning Partnership Board. Options/alternatives considered

Option 1 – Agree the terms of reference presented to the board.

Option 2 – Make amendments to the terms of reference and agree at the next meeting of the Board.

RESOLVED – That:

1. The Chair's casting vote is removed from the terms of reference and replaced with an alternative to dealing with equality of votes.
2. Reference is made to the values and behaviors of the Board to reflect
3. Reference is made to the Health and Wellbeing Board in setting the strategic overview in which the Board will operate.
4. The suggested amendments to the terms of reference be resubmitted to the next meeting of the Commissioning Partnership Board.

7

COUNCIL KEY DECISIONS PROCESS

The Head of Constitutional Services provided a verbal update to the Board on the Council's Key decision processes and the statutory provisions the Council must adhere to when making Key/Executive decisions.

The update included:

- The 28 day Key Decision Document
- Procedure for closed items
- Call-in
- Urgent decisions
- Scrutiny

Options/alternatives

None.

RESOLVED – That the update be noted.

8

S.75 AGREEMENT

A verbal update was provided by the Chief Finance Officer, CCG which sought to update the Board on the current status of the Section 75 agreement.

It was reported that the Section 75 was close to being agreed by the respective organisations.

Initially there would be two schemes, the Community Equipment scheme, to provide personalised equipment to support patients to live safely at home and a Health and Social Care Services scheme to include contracts jointly agreed between the CCG and Council.

The areas included under the Health and Social Care scheme included Learning Disabilities, Mental Health and Dementia, Care Homes and Care Packages.

The Board requested that a development session is undertaken with the core voting members to ensure that members are fully briefed on the budgets available and commissioning strands of the Section 75 agreement.

Options/alternatives considered

None

RESOLVED – That:

1. The update be noted.
2. A development session is organised for the core voting members of the Commissioning Partnership Board in relation to the Section 75 agreement.

9

GM TRANSFORMATION FUND INVESTMENT REVIEW PROCESS

Councillor Jacques joined the meeting for this Item.

Consideration was given to a report of Accountable Officer which sought to provide the board with assurance and an update on the progress of Oldham transformation plans for the allocation of the Greater Manchester Transformation Funding of £21.2m.

It was reported that the Council and CCG along with other stakeholders were working hard to make sure quality, accessible

essentials such as housing, education, transport and employment opportunities were in place for the residents of the Borough.

The Oldham Cares transformation programme aimed to deliver the greatest and fastest improvements in wellbeing and health for the residents of households and communities.

The following 4 proposals had been reviewed and assessed at each stage of the Investment Review and Assurance Process and funding was to be allocated according to the recommendations and activity requirements outlined in Sections 3 and 4 of the report.

- Place – Thriving Communities
- Children’s health – Start Well – Pilot in two clusters.
- Mental health – Provision and Wellbeing – Pilot in two clusters.
- Integrated Community Services – People to access services closer to their home.

Assurance and updates would be provided to the Commissioning Partnership Board to assure that investment continued to support care pathway development in Oldham for prevention, community resilience and care closer to home.

Assessment had taken place with regards to Oldham’s readiness to implement its investment proposals. This would be provided on a quarterly basis to the Board.

In relation to Integrated Community Services there was agreement on the model presented to the Health and Wellbeing Board held on 26th June 2018, however for the delivery of this model there was not yet consensus or the ability to manage within the financial envelope and 3 interconnected work streams would be brought together. It was recommended that a cluster implementation board be established and chaired by Dr John Patterson, Chief Clinical Officer.

Options/alternatives considered

Option 1 – To agree the recommendations as detailed within the report.

Option 2 – Not to agree the recommendations within the report. This would put the transformation funding set aside for the Oldham locality plan at risk and delay decision making.

RESOLVED – That:

1. The transformation proposals continue to support the delivery of the Oldham Vision and Outcomes Framework for the people of Oldham.
2. The transformation proposals enable a sustainable Health and Social Care system closer to home and reduce the reliance on acute hospital services and deliver Oldham Care’s commitment to create a health and social care system which is focused upon prevention and early intervention in our “Thriving” Communities.
3. A robust governance process for the allocation of transformation funding and the development of the plans had been followed.
4. Any proposals must demonstrate a sufficient evidence base to the Commissioning Partnership Board to commence implementation into an operational context.

5. Any Enabler funding requested from the GM Transformation Fund would be pooled across Oldham Cares, to ensure coordination and best value from this budget. The budget would be managed collectively by the Oldham Cares Alliance Leadership Team with regular financial monitoring reports submitted to the Commissioning Partnership Board.
6. The risks highlighted in this report had sufficient mitigating actions to reduce their likelihood, including ensuring adherence across the system to implementing gateway review points, the evaluation of pilots and a robust change management methodology. Oldham had also ring-fenced £50k per year for three years to the GM evaluation of the transformation programmes.
7. The release of funds by Oldham Cares (CCG fund holder) would be subject to;
 - a. Confirmation that proposed service changes would deliver sufficient savings both to contribute to the financial sustainability challenge and cover the incremental costs of the new service; and
 - b. A quarterly review process, assuring the Commissioning Partnership Board that adequate progress was being made.
 - c. An equality impact assessment being produced for each proposal.
8. A further recommendation would be considered at Item 12 of the agenda in relation to commercially sensitive information.
9. An update against progress report be submitted to the Board in September

10 **DATE OF NEXT MEETING**

RESOLVED – That the date of the next meeting was 26th July 2018.

11 **EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC**

RESOLVED that, in accordance with Section 100A(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the following items of business on the grounds that they contain exempt information under paragraphs 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Act, and it would not, on balance, be in the public interest to disclose the reports.

12 **GM TRANSFORMATION FUND INVESTMENT REVIEW PROCESS**

The Board gave consideration to the commercially sensitive information in relation to Item 9 of the agenda - GM Transformation Fund Investment Review Process.

RESOLVED – That the recommendation as detailed within the commercially sensitive report be approved.

The meeting started at 12.30pm and ended at 1.52pm